

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 JEROME JULIUS BROWN,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 USPS PMG,

13 Defendants.
14

Case No. C19-295 RSM

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

15 *Pro se* Plaintiff Jerome Julius Brown has been granted leave to proceed *in forma*
16 *pauperis* in this matter. Dkt. #4. The Complaint was posted on the docket on March 21, 2019.
17 Dkt. #5. Summons has not yet been issued.
18

19 On March 22, 2019, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause stating that Mr. Brown's
20 claims appear frivolous and directing him to respond within 21 days. Dkt. #8. Mr. Brown has
21 not filed a response or taken any other action in this case. The Court's Order warned that
22 failure to respond would result in dismissal. *Id.*
23

24 Mr. Brown brings suit against the United States Postal Service and various postal
25 officials. Dkt. #5. The facts and causes of action in this Complaint are not written in a
26 coherent fashion. In an apparently erroneous citation, Mr. Brown states this is a case for
27 restitution for injuries under 18 U.S.C. § 1106. For "amount in controversy" Mr. Brown states
28

1 “John Brennan title company, Liber 6261 Folio 844 being the same as Liber 5348 Folio 480
2 Pat(ies) Jerome Julie & Patricia Ann Brown no consideration to sell.” *Id.* at 5. The Court
3 cannot understand what Mr. Brown is saying here. Under “statement of claim,” Mr. Brown
4 similarly writes “no consideration to sell,” and other out-of-context phrases. Mr. Brown states
5 that Megan Jane Brennan owes him \$5,838,070.00 on a promissory note. *Id.* at 5. Where his
6 Complaint contemplates summarizing this promissory note, Mr. Brown has included a
7 photocopy of what appears to be an unrelated docket entry from another case. *See id.* at 6. Mr.
8 Brown attaches a full page from a docket in another case with dates for docket entries in July of
9 2012. *Id.* at 7. Mr. Brown mentions “fraudulent title” and “forgery signatures” without
10 explanation. *Id.* at 8. Under the section for “relief,” Mr. Brown states only “cracked
11 foundation, a crack in basement wall below water meter tunk [sic], relief a repair company
12 ASAP, actual damages.” *Id.* at 9. At the end of the Complaint, where the form he is using
13 includes three signature lines for multiple plaintiffs, Mr. Brown signs this document three
14 times.
15
16
17

18 The Court will dismiss a Complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises
19 frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from
20 such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).
21

22 The Court now finds that the Complaint fails to set forth a claim for relief as required
23 by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). There are almost no facts or coherent citations to law.
24 Mr. Brown fails to state a claim against the named Defendant. Plaintiff’s Complaint is
25 frivolous and suffers from deficiencies that require dismissal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).
26
27
28

1 Given all of the above, the Court will dismiss this action for failing to state a claim and
2 for frivolousness. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). Having reviewed the relevant briefing and
3 the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

4 1) Plaintiff's claims are DISMISSED.

5 2) This case is CLOSED.
6
7

8 DATED this 25th day of April 2019.
9

10 

11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28